



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,656	05/16/2001	Barrie Jeremiah Mullins	ERLGP008US2	1010
21121 7	7590 04/23/2004		EXAMINER	
OPPEDAHL	AND LARSON LLP	SURYAWANS	SURYAWANSHI, SURESH	
P O BOX 5068 DILLON, CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.220.1, 00			2115	6
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>l</b>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/681,656	MULLINS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Suresh K Suryawanshi	2115					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u>ay 2001</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the care Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se son is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2115

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it seems that the provided docket no. PI29273 is incorrect at page 4, line 3, as examiner is unable to locate the application.

Appropriate correction is required.

### **Double Patenting**

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 09/681645. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,656 Page 3

Art Unit: 2115

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hong (US Patent no 5,475,295) in view of Sterzik et al (US patent no 6,553,500 B1).
- 7. As per claim 1, Hong teaches

means for reading at least one signal [Fig. 1A; col. 3, lines 10-12; col. 4, lines 66-67; power controller receives a supply signal from a power source];

memory for storing [inherent to the system having a memory]; and

communicating means, responsive to a request from one of said devices, for a returning a state of said associated power supply unit to said requesting device [col. 1, lines 44-55; a remote controller requests status of a power supply and the system responses back with information], said state including a combination of:

Application/Control Number: 09/681,656

Art Unit: 2115

a summary of the current status of the power supply unit [col. 1, lines 44-55; status of the power supply],

a said at least one value [col. 1, lines 44-55; status of the power supply],

said at least one scaling value [col. 1, lines 44-55; status of the power supply], and

according to said device request [col. 1, lines 44-55; request signal from the remote controller].

Hong does not disclose expressly if the status of power supply includes power supply unit serial number. However, Sterzik et al clearly disclose that the part number and any other information can be retrieved from a power supply unit [col. 2, lines 60-67; col. 3, lines 25-27]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the cited references as both are directed for accessing information about a power supply unit. Moreover, in the light of Sterzik et al disclosure, it is quite clear that the serial number (part number) of a power supply is easily available beside several other information and the information are easily accessible by a power controller or any other processing unit.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/681,656 Page 5

Art Unit: 2115

- 8. As per claim 2, Hong discloses the invention substantially. Hong does not disclose about controller is arranged to store scaling values. But a routineer in the art would know that a controller can store values in the system's common memory or a controller could be provided with a memory to store different kinds of values and information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a controller to store scaling values dependent on the supply levels supplied by the power supply unit.
- 9. As per claim 3, Hong teaches that device is a higher level processor arranged to monitor environmental conditions [col. 1, lines 44-55; remote controller].
- 10. As per claim 4, Hong discloses the invention substantially. Hong does not disclose expressly if the status of power supply includes power supply unit serial number. However, Sterzik et al clearly disclose that the part number and any other information can be retrieved from a power supply unit [col. 2, lines 60-67; col. 3, lines 25-27]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the cited references as both are directed for accessing information about a power supply unit. Moreover, in the light of Sterzik et al disclosure, it is quite clear that the serial number (part number) of a power supply is easily available beside several other information and the information are easily accessible by a power controller or any other processing unit.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,656

Art Unit: 2115

11. As per claim 5, Hong teaches that controller is responsive to a device request to condition

the amount of information returned by the power supply unit controller in response to the request

[Fig. 1A; col. 1, lines 44-55; col. 2, lines 10-26].

12. As per claim 6, Hong teaches about at least one power supply unit [Fig. 1A; col. 1, lines

10-26].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suresh K Suryawanshi whose telephone number is 703-305-

3990. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 703-305-9717. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sks

April 16, 2004

THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Page 6